

Note

30.01.2017 18:00:00 VITHANN

Email received on Mon 30/01/2017 4:03 PM.

Subject- Objection to Works Approval for WCC to extend landfill at 470 Wests Rd Werribee.


Werribee Vic 3030

30/01/17

List of Objections to Wyndham Landfill Application

1. Granting of this application will mean that Wyndham residents will have no further say in any decision making regarding the Landfill . It is unfair to shut out the community who will be impacted by this for not only the life of the landfill but into the future. It also creates a precedent for shutting out the community in decision making.
- 2.It will add to the stigma that Wyndham and the West is Melbourne's and Geelong's dumping ground. The RDF is located at the gateway to Wyndham from Geelong and is highly visible to commuters from the railway line. This is not the image I want to project for our community.
3. With regards to landscaping in previous applications I have been shown plans for landscaping {in colour!!!!] but there is still only an ever growing mountain of dirt littered with plastic bags. There are only excuse as to why nothing has been done. How can Council get away with this and will this continue in the future if they are granted this permit?
4. The management of leachate, gas and odour will only become more difficult with the expansion and this will have an impact on residents. There have already been issues .
5. Granting of this approval will not provide any incentive for industry and the community to reduce waste or find alternative ways of reuse and recycling.
6. Aboveground tips are an unacceptable way of disposing of waste putting a burden on the residents who live nearby. There are alternatives but why would they be looked at if Council had a guaranteed source of income for the next 30+ years. This is not the way forward but a step back. Its up to the EPA to be a leader into the future, to steer industry into looking for better ways of reducing, recycling and converting waste to energy. Not to make it easier to just keep dumping it into and on top of the ground.

I would urge that this application is not approved for a 30+ year expansion and that other alternative are explored.

Please keep me informed of any other developments

Sincerely

